NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS

6010 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Wednesday, July 18, 2012 at 5:30 pm

Agenda

 Infection Control Committee Meeting

(Chair: Mrs. Villigan; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Soltani; Mr. McKernan; Mrs. Wark)

Videoconferencing is available at the Board office, 6010 S Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A-1, in Las Vegas and at the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, 1105 Terminal Way, Suite 301, Reno, Nevada 89502.

Please Note: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

Public comment is welcomed by the Board, but at the discretion of the Chair, may be limited to five minutes per person. A public comment time will be available before any action items are heard by the public body and then once again prior to adjournment of the meeting. The Chair may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his/her sole discretion. Once all items on the agenda are completed the meeting will adjourn. Prior to the commencement and conclusions of a contested case or a quasi judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment.

Call to Order

1. Roll call and Establish a Quorum:

Dr. Miller called the meeting to order and Ms. Kelly conducted the following role call:

Mrs. Leslea Villigan	PRESENT
Dr. Byron Blasco	PRESENT- (in late)
Dr. M Masih Soltani	EXCUSED
Dr. Jason Champagne	
Mr. James "Tuko" McKernan	
Mrs. Lisa Wark	

Others Present: John Hunt, Board Legal Counsel; Debra Shaffer, Interim Executive Director.

Public Attendees: Steven Saxe, DDS, NSSOMS President; Mary Bognos, RDH; Heather Rogers, NDHA President; William Waggoner; Brendan Johnson; L. Christopher Rath, Counsel for Dr. Thomas; Christine Haskins, UNLV; Brad Wilbur; Steve Fleming; Kelly Taylor; Cody Mugleston; Silvia Carpenter; Wiliam Pappas, DDS; Ray Garey, LTGL; Lancette VanGuilder, RDH; Betty McGuire, RDH; Lynn Brosy, RDH; Tony Guillen, Anesthesia Committee; Thomas Myatt, Anesthesia Committee; Jason Ferguson; Mike Almarez, Anesthesia Committee; Thomas Kutansky, Anesthesia Committee; Theresa Guillen, Infection Control; Fred Hillerby, lobbyist for NSBDE.

2. Public Comment: No public comment.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

*3. Discussion Regarding Infection Control Inspection Findings Provided to Licensees Pursuant to NAC 631.1785 (For Possible Action)

Ms. Kelly inquired of Mrs. Villigan if she received an email regarding the conversation she had with Mrs. Rosenbaum in relation to her comments meant for this meeting, that they be brought to the committee's attention, due to her inability to be present at the meeting. She added that her comments were related to time and monitoring and asked if she could make Mrs. Rosenbaums comments be known when the committee reaches that agenda item. Mrs. Villigan acknowledged receipt of said email.

(1) Clarification of Time and Event Related Monitoring

Dr. Haskins indicated that it is her understanding that time related monitoring are needed only if something that significantly penetrates the soft tissue and bone, such as implant related things. Furthermore, all surgical and nonsurgical things can event related monitoring. Mrs. Villigan inquired if even related monitoring needed to include a date stamp. Dr. Haskin indicated it did not. Mrs. Villigan inquired that if dealing with event monitoring and the licensee fails a spore test, how would they decipher which instruments to re-sterilize. Dr. Haskin indicated that if they are unable to decipher which instruments were recently sterilized, then all instruments will have to be resterilized. They realized that they have adopted two contradicting standards once from CDC and the other standard from MMWR. Mrs. Villigan suggested re-wording, or eliminating one of the standards. Dr. Haskin suggested eliminating the one from MMWR, since CDC is the one that is more precisely stated and it covers all situations. The only exception is that they will need to see a date stamp on sterilizations. Dr. Haskin sought the legal advice of Mr. Hunt. He indicated that it is always best to go with the date stamp. Dr. Haskin indicated that for implant instruments, which is under event related monitoring, the date stamp on sterilized instruments should not be more than six months, however, for other instruments under time related monitoring there is no time frame stated in the standards. Dr. Haskin suggested that they abide by the 2003 guidelines but adopt the event time packaging from 2008. Ms. Kelly clarified for everyone that when inspectors have gone into inspect an office there seems to be confusion with the staff, the licensees, and even with the inspectors, that in the 2003 guideline document event related packaging at a minimum has a date stamp of sterilization on it. However, that in the 2008 guidelines, which the Board also adopted, there is discussion of how they are moving away from an event related shelf-life practice to an event related shelf-life practice. Clarifying it would make it less confusing for everyone. Dr. Haskin commented that implant instruments are considered time related monitoring because they do involve penetration of the soft and hard tissues, and therefore, must be time-stamped. Dr. Haskin offered to table this item until she can locate the exact page that the implant instrument stamp requirement is located. The committee agreed to table until next time.

(2) Clarification of Requirements Regarding BI (Spore Test) on Loaner Sterilizers.

Mrs. Villigan indicated that often times if an office sterilizer is not sterilizing for whatever reason, and the sterilizer is brought in a loaner is brought in the interim. Dr. Haskin indicated that if a loaner or a second-hand sterilizer is brought in, the office needs to run a spore test to ensure that it sterilizes properly, due to the fact that the loan sterilizers fall under the category of any new sterilizer to the practice. She added that if the office does not and it is the service center that is loaning, they must be able to document the spore test. Dr. Haskin indicated that they must be able to document the spore test. She indicated that the service centers should be.

(3) Clarification of Required Items in First Aid/ER Kits.

Mrs. Villigan indicated that an inspector brought to her attention that there should be some additional items in the First Aid kit aside from the typical band-aids, Neosporin, etc, and suggested, perhaps, an epinephrine-pen. . She inquired of Dr. Haskin if there were any guidelines in regards to such. Dr. Haskin indicated that the recommendations are they an office have an oxygen source, an epinephrine-pen, Benadryl (for an allergic reaction), and nitro-glycerin. She added that anyone with a sedation/anesthesia license needs to be sure that they are prepared to be able to handle 14 different emergencies. Furthermore, if an office has an asthmatic respiratory crisis the office needs to be prepared to handle it, which she added is listed in the Nevada Administrative Codes. Dr. Haskin inquired if the committee would like her to draft a list that would be appropriate for the general practice, the First Aid and the emergency management kit, she could do so that way they have two different sets of criteria for the individual

criteria. Mrs. Villigan very much liked the idea and asked if Dr. Haskin would provide them with the lists.

111

110

112

113 114

128 129 130

126 127

131 132 133

138 139

140

141

147 148 149

150

151

146

152 153 154

155 156 157

162 163 164

(4) Clarification of High Level Disinfectants and Chemical Sterilants.

Mrs. Villigan inquired if Dr. Haskin could provide an explanation of the difference between high level disinfection and chemical sterilant. Dr. Haskin indicated that high level disinfectants are used as environmental used on your tables, countertops, different surfaces, etc. They must contain the tibercusital claim. She noted to Mrs. Villigan that she has a list that she can send to her that are high level disinfectants by brand. She explained what chemicals they contain. She explained that Chemical sterilants are classic solutions used to cold sterilize, but she commented that cold sterilization does not work so well. Mr. McKernan commented that there is a shelf-life of 28 days for any of the chemical sterilants (cold sterilizations), and therefore, they must be sure to check for a date-stamp to be sure sterilized items are not passing the 28 days. Dr. Haskin indicated that they are supposed to keep a log for anything that is cold sterlilzed.

(5) Clarification of Chemical Sterilant and Manufacture Instructions on Using Litmus Paper to Test pH on a Daily Basis.

Mrs. Villigan indicated that usually by reading the manufacturer instructions because some of them do say to use litmus paper to test, to assure that the pH is correct.

(6) Discussion of Manufacturers instructions for use of product, sterilization, maintenance and label warnings

Mrs. Villigan indicated that Mrs. Rosenbaum's concern was that the procedures in sterilization, is that if you were to take out a cassette that was in a package and it's wet, there may be a wicking phenomenon where bacteria can be drawn into the cassette, and therefore, Mrs. Rosenbaum wanted to have this addressed. She commented that individuals should read the instructions as some of them have changed and they address these potential issues.

(7) Clarification on Heat-Tolerant Handpieces and Removable Items Requiring Sterilization.

She asked for clarification on which items need to come off of the lines, such as hand-pieces, nose cones, that then connect to a motor, and therefore, inquired if the motor needs to come off and be sterilized. Dr. Haskin indicated that CDC does not currently have a specific recommendation at this time for non-heat tolerant motors. She commented that they are taking it under consideration for 2014, and when they do they will then be requiring that any motor that is heat-tolerant be sterilized. If they are not heat-tolerant, they will have to be sure to wipe down the motors with a high level disinfectant.

(8) Clarification of Barrier Use Requirements and Optional

Mrs. Villigan indicated that on page 7 of the survey form, regarding the 'barriers' with the word 'optional' in parenthesis and, therefore, want clarification. Dr. Haskin recommended removing 'optional'. Mrs. Villigan inquired if there was something in CDC that indicates that is not required. Dr. Haskin pointed the committee to the section in CDC that makes it clear that barriers are not optional.

(9) Discuss Revising Regulation Wording to Specify Requirements in Accordance with Category Recommendations Delineated in the CDC Guidelines (2003 & 2008).

Ms. Kelly indicated that some required items and non-required items use the term indicated 'shall' which, for some, are somewhat unclear. Therefore, licensees would like clarification. She recommended revising the language to indicate that licensees 'shall follow the items that are strongly recommended by CDC and those items suggested, or simply suggested and, therefore, encouraged but my not be required.' Dr. Haskin indicated that on the form the term 'shall' indicates to the public that the Board is there protecting them, especially since sometimes the standards change, and by leaving the term 'shall' works for such potential changes. She indicated that the leeway that is in the document will also protect the dental professionals. She indicated that she believes that the way it is currently written in the survey provides two protections and should keep the term 'shall' in place. Ms. Kelly indicated that perhaps, the regulation should say 'shall' and that the items that indicate 'suggested' are suggested items. Mrs.

Villigan commented that the committee may need to revisit this subject.

(10) Revisions of Survey Form

a. Add Line Item for Possible Follow-Up Date/Time

All agreed to add a line item for a follow-up date/time.

(11) Re-Inspections

- a. Full Re-Inspection with New Survey Completion or Limited to Identified Deficiencies
- b. New Inspectors or Initial Inspection Inspectors

She indicated that currently when they go for a re-inspect if they should have the original inspector be the one to re-inspect or if they should have a new inspector go in with new forms. The committee concurred that they felt it was adequate and sufficient for the original inspector to conduct the re-inspection.

(12) Recording or Videotaping of Inspectors during Inspection

Mr. Hunt indicated that from a legal perspective, the Board cannot prevent an office from recording an inspection and the inspectors involved. Ms. Kelly voiced her concern is that the inspectors may not be aware if they are going to be videotaped by some offices without prior authorization that they could be subject to videotaping/recording. Being that they are not public inspections. Mr. Hunt indicated that they cannot keep someone from videotaping; therefore, there is no real concern so long as it is not intrusive to the inspectors. Ms. Kelly inquired if she should perhaps notice inspectors that they may be videotaped /recorded while conducting an inspection. The committee seemed to agree with providing advanced notice to inspectors.

(13) Discussion of One Survey Form Per Inspection or Inspectors to Complete Individual Form Per Inspection.

Mrs. Villigan inquired if a team of inspectors could complete one individual form per inspection or if each individual inspector should complete their own individual form. She commented that currently there are two inspectors per site and to expedite the time of the inspection one inspection will inspect one area, and the other inspector evaluates another section. She inquired if this was acceptable or if each individual inspector needs to inspect the entire office using their own form independently. Dr. Blasco commented that it would be double-inspecting to have them work independently. Mr. Hunt indicated that in using one form, the intent is to inspect the office for the items listed, which is what the inspectors are doing, and they must initial that they have inspected certain areas/aspects of the survey. Mr. McKernan commented that having one form per inspection would be sufficient.

*4. Possible recommendations to the Board based on Committee's review (For Possible Action)

MOTION: Mrs. Wark made the motion to table to hold on making recommendations to the Board until they receive the report from Dr. Haskins on items discussed today. Second by Mr. McKernan. All in favor.

5. <u>Public Comment:</u> Ms. Rogers commented that in teaching infection control in the past year, her only concern is that in reading the CDC guidelines, that they read more than just the guidelines, because in the back of the guidelines there is more information provided on recommendations. Ms. VanGuilder inquired if the Board would still be holding inspections or if they will be placing inspections on hold until the new recommendations are being formed. Dr. Miller indicated that they will continue conducting inspections.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

218	6. Announcements: No announcements.
219 220	*7. Adjournment (For Possible Action) Mrs. Wark made the motion to adjourn. Second by Mr. McKernan. All in
221	-
	favor.
222	
223	
224	
225	
226	
227	
228	
229	Meeting Adjourned at 6:37 pm.
230	
231	Respectfully submitted by:
232	
233	feelen Heeker
234	Debra Shaffer, Interim Executive Director
235	Doord Sharror, Informit Excellent Director
233	